

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/478,884

Applicant(s)

KURODA ET AL.

Examiner

Catherine Simone

Art Unit

1772

## All Participants:

(1) Catherine Simone.

(2) Jason Okun.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*all*

Claims discussed:

*2*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Hamada et al.*

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


*It was agreed to change "pores" to "mesopores" in lines 4, 5 and 6 of claim 2 by an Examiner's amendment in order to put the case in condition for allowance.*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
HAROLD PYON  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)